
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/661,095	ROWE, RICHARD E.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	ARTHUR O. HALL	3714
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>ARTHUR O. HALL</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Jeff Kuhn</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 29 April 2010	Time: <u>12:00 pm</u>	
Type of Interview:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a		
Claims discussed: 15, 26, 41		
Prior art documents discussed: Bergeron (US4,764,666)		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
/Arthur O Hall/ Examiner, Art Unit 3714	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)



Application No. 10/661,095

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Applicants and Examiner discussed that even though Bergeron teaches that a smart card includes an I.D. for a specific player, a specific pattern for requesting plays of a game, and a number of tokens for game play, Bergeron does not teach that the number of tokens are to be used for a specific game nor that the specific pattern for playing a game requires the choice from multiple specific games in which the number of tokens can only be used in play of the specific game associated with the smart card.